

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1480 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,002	03/01/2002	Muneo Furuse	500.41298X00	1139	
	7590 11/02/2004	EXAMINER			
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			DEO, DOY V	DEO, DOY VU NGUYEN	
SUITE 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON	, VA 22209-9889		1765		

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/085,002	FURUSE ET AL.	TH			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		DuyVu n Deo	1765				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ei6(a). In no event, however, may a repl within the statutory minimum of thirty (ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this comm IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Au</u>	<u>ıgust 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	ſ.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-			` '			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applity documents have been re (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Sta	ge			
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Sum Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) //ail Date				
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		rmal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/085,002

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-4,6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. It is unclear wherein the specification teaching of "the shower plate being nearer to the sample stage than the electrode" or "a shower plate which is arranged nearer to the sample".
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 4, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitation "a shower plate which is arranged nearer to the sample" is vague because it doesn't not specify what is that the shower plate is arranged nearer than.

5. Claim 5 recites the limitation "the shower plate". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is suggested to use "a shower plate".

Art Unit: 1765

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-14 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 1-4, 6-11 are allowable because prior art doesn't suggest or teach neutralizing errant charged particles which enter the processing gas supply holes from the plasma during a period of RF power, by bombardment of the errant charged particles against an inner surface of the processing gas supply holes (or by causing errant charged particles to be impinged upon an inner surface of gas supply holes of shower plate), the errant charged particles being other than the charged particles that have entered the sample.

Claims 5, 12-14 are allowable because prior art doesn't suggest or teach a processing gas is supplied from a position not less than 30 mm and not more than one half of a diameter of the sample in distance from the sample, toward a center of the sample through processing gas supply holes having an inclination angle (θ) to a surface of the sample, smaller than tan⁻¹(t/d), where t is a thickness of the shower plate, and d is a diameter of a processing gas supply hole.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DuyVu n Deo whose telephone number is 571-272-1462. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-3:30; with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571-272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DVD 10/29/04

fu